

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/564,059	STEINBRENNER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Brian J. Davis	1621	

**All Participants:**

(1) Brian J. Davis.

(2) Richard L. Chinn.

**Date of Interview:** 27 March 2008

**Status of Application:** allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*possible 112, second paragraph, rejection of claims 7 and 8*

Claims discussed:

*7 and 8*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was agreed to alter the claim language of claims 7 and 8 by examiner's amendment in order to avoid a possible 112, second paragraph, rejection.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Brian J. Davis/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621  
3/27/08

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)